

## **Equality Impact Assessment: South East Devon Wildlife – Joint Habitats Sites Mitigation Strategy**

The Equality Act 2010 includes a general duty which requires public authorities, in the exercise of their functions, to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act.
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and people who do not share it.
- Foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not.

In order to comply with the general duty authorities must assess the impact on equality of decisions, policies and practices. These duties do not prevent the authority from reducing services where necessary, but they offer a way of developing proposals that consider the impacts on all members of the community.

Authorities which fail to carry out equality impact assessments risk making poor and unfair decisions which may discriminate against particular groups and worsen inequality.

| Committee name and date: | Report Title  | Decisions being recommended:  | People with protected characteristics potentially impacted by the decisions to be made:  |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Executive. 08.07.2025    | South East Devon Wildlife – Joint<br>Habitats Sites Mitigation Strategy | That the Executive recommends that Council approves the adoption of the South East Devon Wildlife Joint Habitats Sites Mitigation Strategy 2025 – 2030. | South East Devon Wildlife – Joint Habitats Sites Mitigation Strategy sets out a partnership approach to deliver mitigation for impacts on sites designated for their exceptional nature conservation importance. The Strategy does |

| Committee name and date: | Report Title | Decisions being recommended: | People with protected characteristics potentially impacted by the decisions to be made:  |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|
|                          |              |                              | not set out planning policy and<br>the Exeter Plan, to which the<br>Strategy refers, has been the<br>subject of its own approval<br>processes, including EQIA. |
|                          |              |                              | On this basis, groups with specific, protected characteristics are not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy.                |

**Factors to consider in the assessment:** For each of the groups below, an assessment has been made on whether the proposed decision will have a **positive**, **negative or neutral impact**. This must be noted in the table below alongside brief details of why this conclusion has been reached and notes of any mitigation proposed. Where the impact is negative, a **high**, **medium or low assessment** is given. The assessment rates the impact of the policy based on the current situation (i.e. disregarding any actions planned to be carried out in future).

**High impact** – a significant potential impact, risk of exposure, history of complaints, no mitigating measures in place etc. **Medium impact** –some potential impact exists, some mitigating measures are in place, poor evidence **Low impact** – almost no relevancy to the process, e.g. an area that is very much legislation led and where the Council has very little discretion

| Protected characteristic/ area of interest  | Positive or Negative Impact | High,<br>Medium or<br>Low<br>Impact | Reason   |  |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Race and ethnicity (including Gypsies and Travellers; migrant workers; asylum seekers).   | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |
| <b>Disability:</b> as defined by the Equality Act – a person has a disability if they have a physical or mental impairment that has a substantial and long-term adverse impact on their ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.  | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |
| Sex/Gender  | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |
| Gender reassignment   | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |
| Religion and belief (includes no belief, some philosophical beliefs such as Buddhism and sects within religions).   | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |
| Sexual orientation (including heterosexual, lesbian, gay, bisexual).  | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |
| Age (children and young people aged 0-24; adults aged 25-50; younger older people aged 51-75/80; older people 81+; frail older people; people living with age related conditions. The age categories are for illustration only as overriding consideration should be given to needs). | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |  |

| Protected characteristic/ area of interest                       | Positive or Negative Impact | High,<br>Medium or<br>Low<br>Impact | Reason   |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Pregnancy and maternity including new and breast feeding mothers | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |
| Marriage and civil partnership status                            | Neutral                     | N/A                                 | This group is not considered to be affected by the recommendation to approve the Strategy. |

## Actions identified that will mitigate any negative impacts and/or promote inclusion

N/A

Officer: Jill Day, Principal Project manager (Local Plans)

**Date:** 8 May 2025